

Appendix C2. Measures of School Performance: State Performance Ratings, Adequate Yearly Progress Status, and Graduation Rates —Blended Learning Schools

State	School Name	2012-13		2013-14		2014-15	Graduation Rate			Student Teacher Ratio	Classroom Teachers
		State Rating	State	State Rating	State	State Rating	2012	2013	2014		
AK	Fast Track Virtual (formerly Ketchikan Correspondence)	Star Rating= 3/5; ASPI Score: 72.71/100	Academically acceptable	29.16% students below proficient in standardized-based reading assessment; 26.67% students below proficient in math	Academically acceptable	Not rated	21.43		20.00		3.4
AZ	Hope High School Online	AMO status= met	Academically acceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	28.3	11			-1
AZ	Humanities and Sciences Academy Arizona	AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	38.3	31			-1
AZ	EdOptions AOI Program (EdOptions High School Online)	C; AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	District-wide performance: 26% not passing (minimally Proficient); 22% (partially proficient); 39% proficient; 13% highly proficient ELA School-wide performance: 61% not passing (minimally Proficient); 30% (partially proficient); 9% proficient	70.09	15			-1
AZ	Florence Virtual Academy	NR; AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	Statewide performance: 42% not passing (minimally Proficient); 23% (partially proficient); 28% proficient; 7% proficient District-wide performance: 44% not passing (minimally Proficient); 27% (partially proficient); 25% proficient; 4% highly proficient	81.09	49			-1
AZ	Gilbert Global Academy High School	P; AMO met	Academically acceptable	P; AMO met	Academically acceptable	ELA School-wide performance: 28% not passing (minimally Proficient); 31% (partially proficient); 36% proficient; 5% highly proficient Statewide performance: 42% not passing (minimally Proficient); 23% (partially proficient); 28% proficient; 7% proficient District-wide performance: 26% not passing (minimally Proficient); 24% (partially proficient); 38% proficient; 11% proficient		65			0.76
AZ	Marana Distance Learning	AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	ELA School-wide performance: 14% not passing (minimally Proficient); 12% (partially proficient); 28% proficient; 47% highly proficient Statewide performance: 42% not passing (minimally Proficient); 23% (partially proficient); 28% proficient; 7% proficient	11.8	5			-1
AZ	Mesa Distance Learning Program	AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	AMO not met (Priority School)	Academically unacceptable	District-wide performance: 35% not passing (minimally Proficient); 27% (partially proficient); 31% proficient; 7% proficient ELA School-wide performance: 38% not passing (minimally Proficient); 26% (partially proficient); 28% proficient; 8% highly proficient Statewide performance: 42% not passing (minimally Proficient); 23% (partially proficient); 28% proficient; 7% proficient	0	5			2
AZ	Pvonline	P; AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P; AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	District-wide performance: 42% not passing (minimally Proficient); 25% (partially proficient); 27% proficient; 7% proficient ELA School-wide performance: 48% not passing (minimally Proficient); 24% (partially proficient); 18% proficient; 10% proficient		71			12.6
AZ	Red Rock Academy	F; AMO status= met	Academically acceptable	P; AMO met	Academically acceptable	Statewide performance: 42% not passing (minimally Proficient); 23% (partially proficient); 28% proficient; 7% proficient District-wide performance: 34% not passing (minimally Proficient); 24% (partially proficient); 33% proficient; 9% proficient		46			0.5
AZ	Scottsdale Online Learning	P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable	P (AMO met)	Academically acceptable	Not rated ELA School-wide performance: 16% not passing (minimally Proficient); 34% (partially proficient); 38% proficient; 13% proficient Statewide performance: 42% not passing (minimally Proficient); 23% (partially proficient); 28% proficient; 7% proficient		48			7
						District-wide performance: 28% not passing (minimally Proficient); 24% (partially proficient); 36% proficient; 12% proficient					

State	School Name	2012-13		2013-14		2014-15	Graduation Rate			Student Teacher Ratio	Classroom Teachers
		State Rating	State	State Rating	State	State Rating	2012	2013	2014		
AZ	Taylion Virtual High School of Arizona	AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	<p>ELA School-wide performance: 81% not passing (minimally Proficient); 6% (partially proficient); 13% proficient</p> <p>Statewide performance: 42% not passing (minimally Proficient); 23% (partially proficient); 28% proficient; 7% proficient</p> <p>District-wide performance: 63% not passing (minimally Proficient); 22% (partially proficient); 11% proficient; 4% highly proficient</p>	42.6	20	.		-1
AZ	Pinnacle Virtual High School Tempe West	NR; AMO status= not met	Academically unacceptable	P (AMO not met)	Academically unacceptable	<p>ELA School-wide performance: 67% not passing (minimally Proficient); 14% (partially proficient); 12% proficient; 6% highly proficient</p> <p>Statewide performance: 42% not passing (minimally Proficient); 23% (partially proficient); 28% proficient; 7% proficient</p> <p>District-wide performance: 85% not passing (minimally Proficient); 11% (partially proficient); 2% proficient</p> <p>C-Alt</p> <p>ELA School-wide performance: 84% not passing (minimally Proficient); 11% (partially proficient); 5% proficient</p>	16.4	20			-1
AZ	Alternative Computerized Education (ACE) Charter High School					<p>Statewide performance: 42% not passing (minimally Proficient); 23% (partially proficient); 28% proficient; 7% proficient</p> <p>District-wide performance: 83% not passing (minimally Proficient); 13% (partially proficient); 5% proficient</p>			37.00		-1
CA	Delta Charter Online					20% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 24 percentage points lower than students statewide					
CA	Dunlap Leadership Academy			Not rated	Not rated	59% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 15 percentage points higher than students statewide				46.5	1
CA	EDUHSD Virtual Academy at Shenandoah	Met 3 of 4 AYP Criteria; Failed to meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	77% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 13 percentage points higher than students statewide	92.31			15	3.65
CA	Tehama eLearning Academy (TeLA)	No AYP and API report	Not rated	Not rated	Not rated	No CAASPP results s were produced	NA			23.299999	
CA	lhigh Virtual Academy	Met 4 of 4 AYP Criteria; Met AYP	Academically acceptable	Not rated	Not rated	No CAASPP results s were produced	NA			22.1	8.87
CA	California Virtual Academy at Maricopa	Met 12 of 21 AYP Criteria; Failed to Meet AYP	Academically unacceptable	Not rated	Not rated	32% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 12 percentage points lower than students statewide				23.23	41.99
	California Virtual Academy at Maricopa High					20% met and exceeded the Math standards, 13 percentage points lower than students statewide					
						53% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 9 percentage points higher than students statewide					
						14% met and exceeded the Math standards, 19 percentage points lower than students statewide					
CA	San Francisco Flex Academy			Not rated	Not rated	38% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 6 percentage points lower than students statewide					12
						8% met and exceeded the Math standards, 25 percentage points lower than students statewide					
CA	Chaffey District Online High			Not rated	Not rated	74% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 30 percentage points higher than students statewide					3.48
						32% met and exceeded the Math standards, 1 percentage point lower than students statewide					
CA	Capistrano Virtual/home			Not rated	Not rated	84% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 40 percentage points higher than students statewide					3.85
						69% met and exceeded the Math standards, 13 percentage points higher than students statewide					

State	School Name	2012-13		2013-14		2014-15	Graduation Rate			Student Teacher Ratio	Classroom Teachers
		State Rating	State	State Rating	State		State Rating	2012	2013		
CA	Lancaster Alternative And Virtual Academies			Not rated	Not rated	11% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 33 percentage points lower than students statewide 5% met and exceeded the Math standards, 28 percentage points lower than students statewide					5
CA	Academy of Arts and Sciences Del Mar Elementary			Not rated	Not rated	47% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 3 percentage points higher than students statewide 34% met and exceeded the Math standards, 1 percentage point higher than students statewide					6.36
CA	Academy of Arts and Sciences Del Mar Middle and High School			Not rated	Not rated	52% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 8 percentage points higher than students statewide 15% met and exceeded the Math standards, 18 percentage point lower than students statewide					8.99
CA	Juan Bautista De Anza Charter School			Not rated	Not rated	33% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 11 percentage points lower than students statewide 9% met and exceeded the Math standards, 24 percentage points lower than students statewide					1.69
CA	Rivercrest Preparatory			Not rated	Not rated	35% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 9 percentage points lower than students statewide 13% met and exceeded the Math standards, 20 percentage points lower than students statewide					0.52
CA	Moreno Valley Online Academy			Not rated	Not rated	27% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 17 percentage points lower than students statewide 11% met and exceeded the Math standards, 22 percentage points lower than students statewide					5
CA	Paradise eLearning Charter Academy			Not rated	Not rated	38% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 6 percentage points lower than students statewide 5% met and exceeded the Math standards, 28 percentage points lower than students statewide					2.5
CA	Snowline Virtual			Not rated	Not rated	32% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 12 percentage points lower than students statewide 29% met and exceeded the Math standards, 4 percentage points lower than students statewide					4.26
CA	Rocketship Mateo Sheedy Elementary			Not rated	Not rated	No CAASPP results were produced					16
CA	SI Si Puede Academy			Not rated	Not rated	No CAASPP results were produced					18
CA	Rocketship Los Suenos Academy			Not rated	Not rated	25% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 19 percentage points lower than students statewide 39% met and exceeded the Math standards, 6 percentage points higher than students statewide					17
CA	Rocketship Mosaic Elementary			Not rated	Not rated	42% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 2 percentage points lower than students statewide 59% met and exceeded the Math standards, 26 percentage points higher than students statewide					17
CA	Rocketship Discovery Prep			Not rated	Not rated	30% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 14 percentage points lower than students statewide 44% met and exceeded the Math standards, 11 percentage points higher than students statewide					18
CA	Rocketship Brilliant Minds			Not rated	Not rated	26% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 18 percentage points lower than students statewide 51% met and exceeded the Math standards, 18 percentage points higher than students statewide					11
CA	Rocketship Alma Academy			Not rated	Not rated	42% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 2 percentage points lower than students statewide 46% met and exceeded the Math standards, 13 percentage points higher than students statewide					16
CA	Rocketship Spark Academy			Not rated	Not rated	47% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 3 percentage points higher than students statewide 68% met and exceeded the Math standards, 35 percentage points higher than students statewide					14.5
CA	Fuerza Community Prep			Not rated	Not rated	No CAASPP results were produced					
CO	70 Online	Priority Improvement (at or above 33% - below 47%)	Academically unacceptable	Priority Improvement; % Prof/Adv.: 6.67 in Math; 56.67 in Reading; 30 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	23.4% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 16.21 percentage points lower than students statewide	NA	30.8		50.599998	7.11
CO	TCA College Pathways (The Classical Academy College Pathways)	Performance (at or above 60%)	Academically acceptable	Performance; % Prof/Adv.: 95.59 in Reading; 88.24 in Writing	Academically acceptable	No CMAS PARCC Spring Results	73.3	78.40		20.799999	19.77

State	School Name	2012-13		2013-14		2014-15	Graduation Rate			Student Teacher Ratio	Classroom Teachers
		State Rating	State	State Rating	State		State Rating	2012	2013		
CO	Denver Online High School (Online High School)	Improvement (at or above 47% - below 60%)	Academically acceptable	Improvement; % Prof/Adv.: 30 in Math; 73.33 in Reading; 60 in Writing	Academically acceptable	49.67% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 10.06 percentage points lower than students statewide	46.3	47.6		17	3
CO	Falcon Virtual Academy	Performance (at or above 60%)	Academically acceptable	Performance; % Prof/Adv.: 42.37 in Math; 76.27 in Reading; 54.48 in Writing	Academically acceptable	41.41% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 1.8 percentage points higher than students statewide 17.89% met and exceeded the Math standards, 9.29 percentage points lower than students statewide	85.7	92.3		41.900002	14.59
CO	Guided Online Academic Learning (GOAL) Academy	Alternative Education Campus: Improvement	Academically acceptable	NA	Not rated	8.9% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 30.71 percentage points lower than students statewide 1% met and exceeded the Math standards, 26.18 percentage points lower than students statewide	19.4	27.1		23.5	
CO	Hope Online Learning Academy	Priority Improvement (at or above 33% - below 47%)	Academically unacceptable	% Prof/Adv.: 36.12 in Math; 41.94 in Reading; 19.54 in Writing	Academically unacceptable	11.41% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 28.2 percentage points lower than students statewide 6.98% met and exceeded the Math standards, 20.2 percentage points lower than students statewide	38.3	37.8		113	10.85
CO	Jeffco's 21st Century Virtual Academy	Alternative Education Campus: Performance	Academically acceptable	Alternative Education Campus (AEC): Performance, 37.1%, 37.1/100 pts	Academically acceptable	40.82% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 1.21 percentage points higher than students statewide 14.85% met and exceeded the Math standards, 12.33 percentage points lower than students statewide	28.6	37.1		17.299999	15.8
CO	JEFFCO'S 21ST CENTURY VIRTUAL ACADEMY K-6					No CMAS PARCC Spring Results					
CO	PSD Global Academy (PSD Online Academy)	Improvement (at or above 47% - below 60%)	Academically acceptable	Improvement; Prof/Adv.: 60 in Math; 83.64 in Reading; 65.45 in Writing	Academically acceptable	No CMAS PARCC Spring Results	28	35.5		35	7.25
CO	Colorado Prep Academy					30.62% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 8.99 percentage points lower than students statewide 17.5% met and exceeded the Math standards, 9.68 percentage points lower than students statewide					
HI	Kihei Public Charter School										29.25
IL	Pathways in Education HS					Not rated					-1
IL	Pathways in Education Avondale HS					Not rated					
IN	Nexus Academy of Indianapolis										4.52
MI	Blended Learning Academies Credit Recovery High School					No M-STEP Assessment data					
MI	Icademy Global (cyber)					42.10% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 6.04 percentage points lower than students statewide 32.68% met and exceeded the Math standards, 3.16 percentage points lower than students statewide					8.75
MI	Oakland Flextech Academy					19.05% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 29.09 percentage points lower than students statewide 28.17% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 19.97 percentage points lower than students statewide					3.5
MI	FlexTech High School					7.14% met and exceeded the Math standards, 28.7 percentage points lower than students statewide					11.5
MI	Nexus Academy of Lansing					57.14% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 9 percentage points higher than students statewide 17.14% met and exceeded the Math standards, 18.7 percentage points lower than students statewide					7
MI	Nexus Academy of Grand Rapids					58.82% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 10.68 percentage points higher than students statewide 20.59% met and exceeded the Math standards, 15.25 percentage points lower than students statewide					4

State	School Name	2012-13		2013-14		2014-15			Graduation Rate			Student Teacher Ratio	Classroom Teachers
		State Rating	State	State Rating	State	State Rating	2012	2013	2014				
MI	Nexus Academy of Royal Oak					42.31% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 5.83 percentage points lower than students statewide 23.08% met and exceeded the Math standards, 12.76 percentage points lower than students statewide							4

State	School Name	2012-13		2013-14		2014-15			Graduation Rate			Student Teacher Ratio	Classroom Teachers
		State Rating	State	State Rating	State	State Rating	2012	2013	2014				
MN	Minnesota Online High School	F; At or Above AYP in Reading; Not Met AYP in Math	Academically unacceptable	Met AYP in Reading and Math	Academically acceptable	Not Making AYP	24.3	38.5		11	10.4		
MN	Trio Wolf Creek Distance Learning	D-; Not met AYP in Math & Graduation; Met AYP in Reading;	Academically unacceptable	Met AYP in Math, Reading & Graduation; 35.81/75; Multiple Measures Rating(MMR): 47.75; Proficiency: 6.06; Growth: 4.75	Academically acceptable	Making AYP	23.2	39.3		19	9		
MN	WORTHINGTON 518 (VIRTUAL INSTRUCTION)					Not Making AYP					-1		
MN	DISTANCE LEARNING PROGRAM- NORTH BRANCH PUBLIC SCHOOLS					Making AYP					0.85		
NJ	Newark Prep Charter School					Not Rated					15		
NJ	Merit Prep Charter School					Not Rated							
OH	Canton City Digital Academy			D; Performance Index = 75.392	Academically unacceptable	No PARCC assessment results s were produced					5.5		
OH	Cleveland High School For The Digital Arts					No PARCC assessment results s were produced 15.38% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 55.02 percentage points lower than students statewide							
OH	Virtual Schoolhouse, Inc.			F; Performance Index = 44.141	Academically unacceptable	9.4% met and exceeded the Math standards, 58.76 percentage points lower than students statewide					21.27		
OH	Nexus Academy of Columbus			C; Performance Index = 87.134	Academically unacceptable	33.3% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 37.1 percentage points lower than students statewide 35.7% met and exceeded the Math standards, 32.46 percentage points lower than students statewide					3.21		
OH	Nexus Academy of Toledo			C; Performance Index = 88.354	Academically unacceptable	80% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 9.6 percentage points higher than students statewide 61.5% met and exceeded the Math standards, 6.66 percentage points lower than students statewide					3.23		
OH	Nexus Academy of Cleveland			D; Performance Index = 78.549	Academically unacceptable	26.8% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 43.6 percentage points lower than students statewide 7.1% met and exceeded the Math standards, 61.06 percentage points lower than students statewide					4.13		
OR	Sheridan AllPrep Academy	Level 1 = Falls into the bottom 5% of schools	Academically unacceptable	Level 1 = Falls into the bottom 5% of schools	Academically unacceptable	34.2% met and exceeded the ELA standards, 19.9 percentage points lower than students statewide 13.2% met and exceeded the Math standards, 27.6 percentage points lower than students statewide	5.60	6.70		39.799999	2.75		
OR	Winter Lakes Virtual Academy					Not rated					1.5		
RI	Village Green Virtual					Not rated					10		
TN	Pathways in Education-TN					Not rated					-1		
TN	Pathways in Education-Whitehaven					Math- 29.2(Below Basic);41.7(Basic); 20.8(Proficient); 8.3(Advanced); 29.1(Proficient & Advanced)							
TN	Rocketship Northeast Elementary					Reading/Language- 17.7(Proficient & Advanced) Science- 26.0 (Proficient & Advanced)							
UT	Alpine Online School					Grade: B; Growth Points: 124; Achievement Points: 131; All Students Growth: 89; Below Proficient Growth: 35					-1		
UT	Online School - Davis District					Not rated					-1		
UT	Sevier Connection Online					Not rated					-1		
UT	Uintah Online School					Grade: F; Growth Points: 92; Achievement Points: 54;All Students Growth: 61;Below Proficient Growth: 31					0.68		
UT	Washington County Online High School					Grade: C; Growth Points: 163; Achievement Points: 129;All Students Growth: 112;Below Proficient Growth: 51			59.00		-9		
UT	Washington County Online School					Grade: C; Growth Points: 147; Achievement Points: 118;All Students Growth: 94;Below Proficient Growth: 53					13.2		
WI	Rocketship Southside Community Prep					Not rated					17.03		